



International Journal of Innovative Research in Science Engineering and Technology (IJIRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)



Impact Factor: 8.699

Volume 14, Issue 8, August 2025

The Impact on Service Quality with respect to Customer Loyalty

Dr. H H Ramesha¹, Darshith V², Amar Patil³

Associate Professor & Research Supervisor, Department of Management Studies, Visvesvaraya Technological University-Belagavi, Centre for Post-Graduation Studies, Muddenahalli, Chikkaballapur, India¹

Student, Department of Management Studies (MBA), Centre for Post Graduate Studies, Muddenahalli, Chikkaballapur, Visvesvaraya Technological University, Belagavi, Karnataka State, India²

Student, Department of Management Studies (MBA), Centre for Post Graduate Studies, Muddenahalli, Chikkaballapur, Visvesvaraya Technological University, Belagavi, Karnataka State, India³

ABSTRACT: Service quality is among the most important factors that dictate whether and when customers develop loyalty to a company. In the competitive business environment of today, customers are presented with many options from which to make a choice, and companies have to offer consistently good service to keep them. This research investigates how each of the service quality dimensions — from reliability (following through on commitments) and responsiveness (courtesy and timeliness) to assurance (employees' knowledge and courtesy), empathy (personal care), and tangibles (clean and neat and visually pleasing facilities) — affect customer commitment. When customers are served by services equal to or superior to their expectations, they become satisfied and develop confidence in the company. Over the long term, the confidence is converted into repeat business, positive word-of-mouth, and tighter customer relationships. According to the study, improving service quality is not a matter of getting it right but creating positive experiences that will return customers. Through these areas, companies can create loyalty, establish a competitive advantage, and guarantee long-term success.

KEYWORDS: Service Quality, Customer Loyalty, Reliability, Responsiveness, Assurance, Empathy, Tangibles, Customer Satisfaction, Trust, Competitive Advantage, Long-term Growth.

I. INTRODUCTION

With the fast-evolving business environment today, customers are more aware, demanding, and sophisticated when it comes to choosing the products and services to consume. With having several options in almost every business, differentiation by product alone is no longer sufficient, and organizations have been compelled to differentiate themselves by the quality of service that they deliver. Service quality has thus become the key driving force in creating a strong relationship between organizations and customers. Unlike tangible products, services are normally assessed based on the manner in which they are delivered, employee conduct, and the customer experience. When the service delivered is of quality, customers will be loyal, repurchase, and refer the organization to others. Poor-quality service, however, can easily push customers to the arms of competitors.

Service quality means the degree to which the service lives up to and even surpasses the expectations of the customers. It comprises reliability (keeping promises), responsiveness (being responsive and prompt), assurance (demonstrating competence and courtesy), empathy (caring for customer), and tangibles (physical environment, appearance, and facilities). These factors determine the perceptions of customers and the overall satisfaction of the customers with the service provider. Customer loyalty is the sustained commitment of a customer to repeat patronage of a business despite competition and market fluctuations. Repeat customers not only repeatedly purchase but also serve as brand ambassadors by providing positive word-of-mouth and influencing other people's buying behaviour.

The connection between customer loyalty and service quality has been accorded much emphasis in industries like banking, retailing, healthcare, hospitality, and telecommunication. Research evidence indicates that customers remain with firms that provide consistent service experiences and respect and value them. For instance, in hospitality, a

customer who is given fast check-in services, clean environments, courteous attendants, and prompt problem-solving will return to the hotel and recommend others. Similarly, in retail or internet services, when customers are guaranteed delivery reliability, ease of support mechanisms, and security of transactions, they become attached to the service provider. This goes to illustrate how service quality has a direct connection with customer loyalty and eventually the firm's long-term success.

The importance of service quality is otherwise recognized in competitive industries like India, where businesses in various sectors are unable to retain customers. Due to the globalization, digitalization, and increased customer awareness, loyalty cannot be assumed. Customers can switch to rival companies with better experiences. Thus, service quality has become a key differentiator and a strategic lever for businesses that want to have a stable customer base. Businesses that do not emphasize high-quality service generally have higher customer churn, negative reviews, and lower profits. On the other hand, businesses that continuously improve service quality have higher trust, customer engagement, and market growth.

The relationship between service quality and loyalty is not just in short-term satisfaction but also in long-term emotional bonds and trust. Customers are not seeking efficiency but attention and care on a personal level. A firm that nurtures feedback, manages complaints successfully, and invests in building strong relations is likely to win long-term loyalty. Customer loyalty is actually the most valuable intangible asset of any firm. Loyal customers spend more, cost less to retain, and spread a good brand image, which is difficult for competitors to replicate.

Against this background, studies on service quality and customer loyalty are highly relevant to business organizations, researchers, and policy makers. Awareness of the relationship between service quality and customer loyalty helps business organizations adopt effective strategies, resource allocations, and customer-oriented practices. It also sheds light on how companies can achieve sustainable competitive advantage along non-price factors. The current study aims to investigate the influence of different dimensions of service quality on customer loyalty, why customers are loyal when their service expectations are met, and what companies can do to retain long-term customers.

II. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

Service quality and customer loyalty are two concepts that have been widely discussed in marketing, management, and consumer behaviour literature. To understand their relationship, it is important to examine the theoretical foundations that explain why and how customers respond to service quality and develop loyalty toward businesses.

1. Service Quality Concept

Service quality is premised on the expectation-disconfirmation theory. The theory holds that expectations are created before service experience by customers and then after service experience, the perceived performance is matched against pre-experience expectations. If the service is equal to or better than what was anticipated, then it is high quality; if worse, then the service will be poor. The comparison plays a major role in determining customer satisfaction as well as future behaviour.

One of the most extensively researched models for service quality measurement is the SERVQUAL model developed by Parasuraman, Zeithaml, and Berry (1988). The model posits that five general dimensions of service quality exist:

- Reliability – providing guaranteed services correctly.
- Responsiveness – willingness to serve customers promptly.
- Assurance – employees' knowledge, courtesy, and trustworthiness.
- Empathy – tailored care and attention to customers.
- Tangibles – physical surroundings, hardware, and appearances.

These dimensions help organizations evaluate gaps between customer perceptions and expectations and are an empirically verified framework in practice and research.

2. Customer Loyalty Concept

Customer loyalty has been conceptualized in terms of attitudinal and behavioural perspectives. The behavioural perspective views loyalty as repeat purchasing by consumers, while the attitudinal perspective addresses preference,

trust, and favourable feelings towards a company or service organization. Real loyalty is usually a combination of both—customers consistently choose the same company and also have strong positive feelings towards the company. Customer Loyalty Ladder theory dictates that customers pass through stages from prospects to first-time buyers, then repeat buyers, customers, clients, advocates, and ultimately partners. The higher the level of loyalty, the warmer and more profitable the relationship to the company.

3. Relationship Between Service Quality and Customer Loyalty

A number of theories acknowledge the connection between loyalty and service quality:

- Customer Satisfaction Theory: Service quality determines customer satisfaction, and customer satisfaction results in loyalty. Satisfied customers will come back and bring others to the service.
- Relationship Marketing Theory: Building strong, long-term customer relationships by delivering good service builds trust and emotional bonds, which translate to loyalty.
- Equity Theory: The equity of service exchanges is seen by customers. If they feel they are receiving value and fairness, loyalty is maximized.

4. Significance in Business Context

Theoretical studies contend that service quality is a central driver of competitive advantage. Unlike pricing strategies or advertising efforts, service quality builds sustained loyalty that is harder for competitors to imitate. Brand champions, reduced marketing outlays, and stable revenue streams are typically the outcome of loyal customers. Thus, firms that harmonize their operations with these theories are likely to have long-term growth and customer loyalty.

III. SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY

The present research is significant in the manner it seeks to investigate the impact of service quality on customer loyalty, a key indicator of long-term business success. In the modern business era with heightened competition, organizations can no longer rely on product features or price as the only parameter to maintain customers. Instead, they must offer reliable and quality services that are capable of generating satisfying customer experiences. Through the investigation of the relationship, the research provides pragmatic value to business practice and academic inquiry.

To begin with, the study will help business organizations realize the importance of service quality in fostering customer loyalty. Most organizations invest a lot of resources in marketing in a bid to acquire new customers yet are unaware of the importance of retaining customers. Since acquiring new customers is often more costly than retaining customers, realizing how service quality creates loyalty can help organizations optimize the use of resources.

Second, it is applicable to decision-makers and managers since it informs them which service quality dimensions—reliability, responsiveness, assurance, empathy, and tangibles—drive loyalty the most. Managers can leverage such data to tailor their service strategies, train staff, and re-design customer experiences to build more engaging relationships.

Third, the research is beneficial to the customers because it emphasizes the function of their perceptions and expectations to loyalty. Companies that are responsive to their customers and provide reliable service generate satisfaction and trust, which in turn maximize customer well-being by minimizing the chances of negative service experiences.

Scholarship-wise, the study contributes to the current body of research in service management, consumer behaviour, and marketing. It provides evidence of the application of theories such as the SERVQUAL model, customer satisfaction theory, and relationship marketing. This will guide researchers, scholars, and students on the degree to which service quality influences customer loyalty.

Finally, the study has broader economic and societal implications. Repeat customers propel business success with repeated purchase and word-of-mouth, creating businesses' market positions. At the same time, global service standards promote overall customer satisfaction in society, underpinning healthier competition and encouraging businesses to pursue ongoing performance improvement.

Finally, the significance of this study is that it can guide companies, managers, academics, and customers to the value of service quality as a strategic tool for developing loyalty, sustainable growth, and market competitiveness.

IV. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

1.General Objective

To analyse the effect of service quality on customer loyalty and how enhancing service standards can assist in retaining customers and long-term expansion.

2.Specific Objectives

- To explore the relationship between various aspects of service quality (reliability, responsiveness, assurance, empathy, and tangibles) and customer loyalty.
- To critically examine the mediating role of customer satisfaction between service quality and customer loyalty.
- To determine what aspect of service quality will have the greatest impact on creating customer loyalty.
- To investigate customer attitudes and expectations towards service quality within the chosen company/sector.
- To make managerial recommendations on how companies can improve service quality in order to enhance customer trust and loyalty.
- To contribute to the literature by employing theoretical service quality models (e.g., SERVQUAL) within real-world business contexts.

V. SCOPE OF THE STUDY

The field of this research revolves around examination of the impact of service quality on customer loyalty and the extent to which companies can gain by enhancing service standards. Research primarily deals with customer perception of service quality, the degree of satisfaction, and the way these elements play a role in establishing long-term loyalty.

The study will take into account the five broad dimensions of service quality in the SERVQUAL model: reliability, responsiveness, assurance, empathy, and tangibles. These dimensions offer a framework for a systematic evaluation of customer expectations and perceived service experiences. Using these parameters, the research hopes to determine which elements of service delivery are most important in building loyal customers.

Geographically, the study can be constrained to a specific region, city, or organization (based on the sample selected). The study will gather data from selected customers via surveys, questionnaires, or interviews in order to measure their experiences and loyalty behaviour. Owing to the fact that the study is service industry-specific, retail, hospitality, banking, or manufacturing-associated services can be targeted.

The study's results will be of help to managers and business decision-makers by giving them some insight into how the improvement in service quality can translate into improved customer retention. The limitation is that the scope is restricted since it only deals with service quality and not any other factors such as price, brand image, or external market conditions, which can influence loyalty.

Scholarly, the scope is broadened to making a contribution to marketing and management studies by deepening the comprehension of service quality's effect on loyalty in competitive environments. It also provides avenues for future study by comparing outcomes across varying industries or customer bases.

In brief, the scope of this research involves assessing the dimensions of service quality, their impact on customer loyalty, and the business practical implications for improving customer relationships and maintaining growth.

VI. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

1) Parasuraman, Zeithaml & Berry (1988) – SERVQUAL

Parasuraman, Zeithaml, and Berry (1988) came up with the SERVQUAL model, which transformed service quality measurement by concentrating on five dimensions: reliability, responsiveness, assurance, empathy, and tangibles. Their main contention was that customers evaluate service quality through a comparison of expectations and actual experiences. Through the introduction of the idea of gap scores (perception – expectation), the authors offered a diagnostic device for the detection of service delivery weaknesses. The SERVQUAL model shifted academicians' and managers' focus away from product characteristics and toward the service encounter, thus presenting managers with a

straightforward set of guidelines to measure where their firms fail short. Over the years, SERVQUAL has been applied across numerous industries worldwide, making it a versatile and enduring tool for service quality measurement. While later studies refined and improved certain items, the underlying conceptual framework remains robust and continues to influence both theory and practice in service management.

2) Cronin & Taylor (1992) – SERVPERF Perspective

Cronin and Taylor (1992) critiqued the SERVQUAL model by contending that the expectation–perception gap was overly complicated and not always a good predictor of service quality outcomes. They developed the SERVPERF model, which is based only on customer perception of performance and thus simplifies measurement without loss of explanatory power. Their results indicated that measures based on performance were strong predictors of satisfaction and behavioural intentions, such as purchase intentions. This model gave managers a simpler way to measure service quality, since questionnaires could eliminate expectation items. While SERVPERF did not fully dislodge SERVQUAL, it gave rise to an alternative methodological approach, fuelling controversy over the best measurement framework. The research also highlighted the interrelated nature of service quality, satisfaction, and behavioural intentions, thus widening the analytical scope through which researchers and managers examine service management.

3) Zeithaml, Berry & Parasuraman (1996) – Behavioural Consequences

Zeithaml, Berry, and Parasuraman (1996) moved from the measurement of service quality to its behavioural consequences. Their research proved that high perceived service quality is associated with loyalty behaviours, including repeat buying, word-of-mouth communications, and decreased switching intentions. By adding constructs such as perceived value and customer satisfaction, they illustrated how the variables act as main paths to loyalty. Notably, the study emphasized that long-term consistent delivery of service is more impactful than occasional instances of good service. The research offered hard evidence that higher service quality translates into concrete financial returns, prompting organizations to accept service quality enhancement not as a cost but as a strategic investment. The results were replicated in different service sectors, upholding the cross-industry applicability of the quality–loyalty relationship.

4) Caruana (2002) – Satisfaction as Mediator

Caruana (2002) examined the mediating effect of customer satisfaction between service quality and customer loyalty. The research found that although service quality has a direct effect on satisfaction, it is through satisfaction that the bonds of loyalty are cemented. This accounted for the fact that not all high-quality services automatically resulted in loyal customers since satisfaction acts as the emotional link that bonds quality to loyalty. Caruana underscored the importance of organizations structuring services to their minimum effort, stress, and inconvenience requirement, thus optimizing satisfaction. The research also underscored employee empathy and training in their delivery of service experiences that emotionally connect with customers. By making sense of inconsistent findings from prior research, Caruana’s study solidified satisfaction as a key milestone in translating service quality into sustainable customer loyalty.

5) Oliver (1999) – What Is Loyalty?

Oliver (1999) offered one of the most effective conceptualizations of customer loyalty by making a distinction between attitudinal and behavioural elements. He believed that mere repeat purchases cannot establish loyalty, particularly when those purchases are a function of switching costs or unavailability of alternatives. Real loyalty, Oliver believed, entails commitment and actual preference to stick with a brand. He identified relevant process of loyalty:

- cognitive, which corresponds to service quality;
- affective, related to satisfaction and trust;
- conative, reflecting the intention to return;
- action stage.

This framework predicted relevant reasons why short-term promotions or price discounts would not produce sustainable loyalty because they are not backed by the needed emotional and attitudinal aspects of commitment. Oliver’s research redirected managerial thinking toward establishing relationships and long-term involvement, which inspired academic discussion and practical approaches in relevant management.

6) Bolton & Drew (1991) – Quality, Value, and Satisfaction

Bolton and Drew (1991) investigated the relationship among service quality, value, and satisfaction, providing one of the first attempts to separate out these confusable. The results demonstrated that perceived quality significantly drives value judgments, which in turn influence satisfaction and customer retention. Notably, the research emphasized that service quality enhancements become effective only when they are perceived by customers to provide good value against price. The study also emphasized the need to incorporate price and benefits into service judgments, reminding managers that even service excellence has to be perceived as being "worth it" by the customer. By taking dynamic customer judgments into account over time, the study enriched the knowledge base on customer decision-making processes. This study informed subsequent service design and measurement studies, specifically in the development of more robust survey tools.

7) Rust & Zahorik (1993) – Financial Impact of Quality

Rust and Zahorik (1993) bridged the conceptual service quality and loyalty with concrete financial performance. They posited that service quality improvements result in customer retention, which then translates into increased profitability and long-term firm performance. Their framework calculated the extent to which even modest loyalty gains would meaningfully boost revenue, giving managers a financial argument for spending on service enhancements, employee training, and process redesign. By putting the spotlight on customer lifetime value, the authors moved the language away from short-term satisfaction and towards long-term profitability. Their research showed that quality must not be viewed as just a "soft" issue but as a hard financial driver. This research formed the basis of the wider service-profit chain rationale and continues to be a force in managerial thinking globally.

8) Heskett, Sasser & Schlesinger (1994) – Service-Profit Chain

Heskett, Sasser, and Schlesinger (1994) codified the service-profit chain, a framework connecting employee satisfaction, service quality, customer loyalty, and firm profitability. They believed that loyal customers arise where employees are stimulated, trained, and reinforced by a robust internal service culture. The model illustrated how investing in employee wellness and internal operations results in better customer experiences, which in turn lead to loyalty and growth. What is more significant is that the model combined input from HR, operations, and marketing into a complete picture of service management. The service-profit chain has been widely used in hospitality, banking, and retail sectors, emphasizing culture and people as drivers of customer outcomes. It continues to be a seminal framework in both management practice and scholarly research.

9) Gremler & Brown (1996) – Developing Service Loyalty

Gremler and Brown (1996) investigated the progression of service loyalty over time, stressing the significance of close interpersonal relationships between customers and employees. Through their investigation, they found that personalized care, trust, and feeling special are all important factors in building loyalty. The study emphasized the value of service recovery opportunities, in which complaints handled well can reinforce customer relationships instead of destroying them. In differentiating between loyalty and satisfaction, the writers contended that deeper relational involvement was needed to establish loyalty. They also cited word-of-mouth referrals as a key result of loyalty, especially in high-contact services like healthcare and beauty salons. This research put forward the human aspect of service quality, indicating that relational and emotional bonds play an important part in the formation of long-term customer relationships.

10) Kandampully & Suhartanto (2000) – Hospitality Loyalty

Kandampully and Suhartanto (2000) concentrated on the hotel industry, and it was made evident that brand image, perceived value, and service quality are key drivers of guest loyalty. Their research indicated that although tangibles like cleanliness, ambiance, and facilities are a factor, intangible factors such as staff courtesy, responsiveness, and empathy predominate in influencing satisfaction and repeat business. Brand image was also highlighted by the research as a key factor for maintaining positive service experiences and translating them into loyalty. Managers were advised by the research to maintain consistent standards, have strong employee training, and quick problem-solving to build customer trust. The consequences reach beyond hospitality to other experience-based sectors like tourism. Through the affirmation of the service quality–satisfaction–loyalty chain in a service-oriented setting, the research offered an extended comprehension of the progression of loyalty in reality.

VII. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The research is chiefly founded on secondary data analysis with a view to comprehend the service quality-customer loyalty connection. Secondary data is utilized because it offers a large reservoir of pre-existing research, trustworthy sources, and tested theoretical models that have been applied in various industries and settings. The research design is organized as below:

1. Research Design

The research uses a descriptive and analytical research design. The goal here is not to create new raw data, but to integrate and critically analyse prior research findings on the impact of service quality on customer loyalty. The descriptive method explains the concept, whereas analytical perspectives enable inferences between service quality dimensions and customer conduct.

2. Data Collection

- Data for the research were gathered solely from secondary sources, which are:
- Research articles in peer-reviewed journals (e.g., Journal of Marketing, Journal of Service Research).
- Academic books and publications on service quality and customer loyalty.
- Industry reports, case studies, and white papers on service management.
- Online databases like Google Scholar, ResearchGate, JSTOR, and Emerald Insights.

3. Data Analysis

The data collected was read through, compared, and analyzed to determine the similarities and differences. Important models like SERVQUAL (Parasuraman, Zeithaml & Berry, 1988) and SERVPERF (Cronin & Taylor, 1992) were read thoroughly to learn the methods of measuring the quality of a service. The role of customer satisfaction, trust, and value in developing loyalty was also reviewed from literature.

The analysis proceeded as follows:

- Classification of literature into broad themes like measurement of service quality, relationship between quality and loyalty, mediating role of satisfaction, and financial/strategic impact.
- Comparison of multiple authors' findings in order to recognize areas of consensus and lacunae in understanding.
- Consolidation of inferences into a systematic review to bring out the ways service quality leads to long-term loyalty in customers.

4. Scope and Limitations of Methodology

Scope: Secondary data enable the research to span across a broad scope of industries such as hospitality, retail, banking, and healthcare, thereby making the findings more generalizable.

Limitations: The research relies on the correctness of data already published, and no direct survey or interview was carried out. Thus, results might not accurately represent the latest market-specific trends.

VIII. FINDINGS AND SUGGESTIONS

Findings

1. The research indicates that customer loyalty is directly related to service quality. When customers are provided with reliable, prompt, and courteous service, they tend to go back to the same company.
2. Among the five aspects of service quality (reliability, responsiveness, assurance, empathy, and tangibles), it was found that reliability and responsiveness had the most significant impact on loyalty.
3. Customer satisfaction is a middleman between service quality and loyalty. Even if the service is optimal, customers turn into loyal customers when they are satisfied with the entire experience.
4. Personal attention and trust also contribute equally to generating repeat business. Customers remain loyal when they feel respected and valued by the company.
5. The research indicates that loyalty is not only repeat business. It also encompasses word-of-mouth and the propensity to recommend the company, as well as emotional attachment.

6. Companies that solely concentrate on product quality but completely disregard service elements are unable to retain clients in the long term.
7. Enhancing the quality of service is not merely an operational issue but a strategic investment, as it has a direct effect on greater revenue and competitiveness.

IX. SUGGESTIONS

1. Organizations need to enhance dependability by consistently fulfilling commitments promptly and accurately.
2. Empower staff with customer service skills like friendliness, understanding, and resolving issues to generate positive experiences.
3. Implement a customer feedback system to monitor the quality of services on a regular basis and locate areas of weakness to work upon.
4. Prioritize service recovery methods—providing speedy resolution of customer complaints can transform unhappy customers into loyal fans.
5. Invest in employee motivation and satisfaction, since satisfied employees are more likely to render better service.
6. Enhance the physical dimensions of service, including cleanliness, infrastructure, and technology, to maximize customer comfort and confidence.
7. Establish long-term customer relationships through loyalty schemes, customized communication, and rewards for frequent buyers.
8. Approach service quality as a on-going process, not an event, to remain competitive in today's fast-moving marketplace.

X. CONCLUSION

The study finds that service quality plays a central part in developing customer loyalty in all industries. As will be discerned from the secondary literature review, dimensions such as reliability, responsiveness, assurance, empathy, and tangibles form the foundation of customers' perceptions of service quality. When these dimensions are provided consistently, they lead to higher satisfaction, trust, and consequently, loyalty.

The study also indicates that loyalty does not necessarily come from quality of service but customer satisfaction is the intervening factor between the two. Customers tend to be loyal, recommend the service to other people, and repeat buy if they are satisfied with quality services. Loyalty extends beyond repeat buy to encompass emotional bond and trust and long-term preference for the brand.

Another significant discovery is that investment in service quality is not just an operations imperative but also a business growth and profitability strategy driver. Research such as the Service-Profit Chain supports the notion that employee satisfaction, internal service quality, and customer loyalty are linked and form a cycle of long-term success.

In today's competitive age, with low switching costs and choices for customers, service quality is a differentiator. Organizations that focus on building a customer-centric culture, continuous service enhancement, and personalized experiences are likely to retain customers in the long run.

Overall, research substantiates the cross-culturally validated model that service quality → satisfaction → loyalty and that companies must treat service quality as not an expenditure but an investment in long-term customer relationships and business viability.

REFERENCES

1. Parasuraman, A., Zeithaml, V. A., & Berry, L. L. (1988). *SERVQUAL: A multiple-item scale for measuring consumer perceptions of service quality*. Journal of Retailing, 64(1), 12–40.
2. Cronin, J. J., & Taylor, S. A. (1992). *Measuring service quality: A reexamination and extension*. Journal of Marketing, 56(3), 55–68. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002224299205600304>
3. Zeithaml, V. A., Berry, L. L., & Parasuraman, A. (1996). *The behavioral consequences of service quality*. Journal of Marketing, 60(2), 31–46. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002224299606000203>

4. Caruana, A. (2002). *Service loyalty: The effects of service quality and the mediating role of customer satisfaction*. European Journal of Marketing, 36(7/8), 811–828. <https://doi.org/10.1108/03090560210430818>
5. Oliver, R. L. (1999). *Whence consumer loyalty?* Journal of Marketing, 63(Special Issue), 33–44. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00222429990634s105>
6. Bolton, R. N., & Drew, J. H. (1991). *A multistage model of customers' assessments of service quality and value*. Journal of Consumer Research, 17(4), 375–384. <https://doi.org/10.1086/208564>
7. Rust, R. T., & Zahorik, A. J. (1993). *Customer satisfaction, customer retention, and market share*. Journal of Retailing, 69(2), 193–215. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-4359\(93\)90003-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-4359(93)90003-2)
8. Heskett, J. L., Sasser, W. E., & Schlesinger, L. A. (1994). *The service profit chain: How leading companies link profit and growth to loyalty, satisfaction, and value*. Free Press.
9. Gremler, D. D., & Brown, S. W. (1996). *Service loyalty: Its nature, importance, and implications*. In B. Edvardsson, S. W. Brown, R. Johnston, & E. E. Scheuing (Eds.), *Advancing service quality: A global perspective* (pp. 171–180). International Service Quality Association.
10. Kandampully, J., & Suhartanto, D. (2000). *Customer loyalty in the hotel industry: The role of customer satisfaction and image*. International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management, 12(6), 346–351. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09596110010342559>



INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD
SERIAL
NUMBER
INDIA



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

 9940 572 462  6381 907 438  ijirset@gmail.com



www.ijirset.com

Scan to save the contact details